count II

Multiplication: the product rule

- The **product rule** says that Cartesian product maps to arithmetic product.
- Intuitively, we line the elements (a, b) of $A \times B$ in lexicographic order and count them off. 字典

•

• This looks very much like packing a two-dimensional array in a one-dimensional array by mapping each pair of indices (i, j) to $i \cdot |B| + j$

Relate C(i,j) to D(k)

|A|=m=5

|B|=n=4

- C is an mxn array
- D(k) is related to C(i,j)
- for $i \geq 0$, ≥ 0 , $j \geq 0$
	- $k= i^*n+i$

• $|A \times B| = |A| \cdot |B|$.

•

• *Proof.* The trick is to order A × B lexicographically and then count off the elements. Given bijections $f : A \rightarrow ||A||$ and g : B \rightarrow [|B||, define h : (A×B) \rightarrow [|A|·|B|| by the rule h((a,b)) = a·|B|+b.

• **Theorem 11.1.3.** *For any finite sets* A *and* B*,*

• The division algorithm recovers a and b from h(a, b) by recovering the unique natural numbers q and r such that h(a, b) $= q \cdot |B| + r$ and $0 \le b < |B|$ and letting $a = f^{-1}(q)$ and $b = g^{-1}(r)$.

Relate D(k) to C(i,j)

- C is an mxn array
- Relate D(k) to C(i,j)
- for $k \geq 0$, ≥ 0
	- $j= mod(k,n)$
	- $i=(k-j)/n$

The general form is

$$
\left|\prod_{i=1}^k A_i\right| = \prod_{i=1}^k |A_i|,
$$

where the product on the left is a Cartesian product and the product o the right is an ordinary integer product.

- As I was going to Saint Ives, I met a man with seven sacks, and every sack had seven cats. How many cats total? ⼤布袋
- Answer: Label the sacks 0,1,2,...,6, and label the cats in each sack 0,1,2,...,6. Then each cat can be specified uniquely by giving a pair (sack number, cat number), giving a bijection between the set of cats and the set $7 \times$ 7. Since $|7 \times 7| = 7 \cdot 7 = 49$, we have 49 cats.

科學怪人

- Dr. Fraincible in's trusty assistant Igor has brought him 6 torsos, 4 brains, 8 pairs of matching arms, and 4 pairs of legs. How many different monsters can Dr Frankenstein build?
- Answer: there is a one- to-one correspondence between possible monsters and 4-tuples of the form (torso, brain, pair of arms, pair of legs); the set of such 4-tuples has $6 \cdot$ $4 \cdot 8 \cdot 4 = 728$ members.

order or sorting

How many different ways can you order *n* items? Call this quantity n! (pronounced "*n* factorial"). With 0 or 1 items, there is only one way; so we have $0! = 1! = 1$. For $n > 1$, there are *n* choices for the first item, leaving $n-1$ items to be ordered. From the product rule
we thus have $n! = n \cdot (n-1)!$, which we can expand out as $\prod_{i=1}^{n} i$, our previous definition of $n!$.

For infinite sets

- The product rule also works for infinite sets, because we again use it as a definition: for any A and B, $|A|\cdot|B|$ is defined to be $|A \times B|$
- \cdot One oddity for infinite sets is that this definition gives $|A| \cdot$ $|B| = |A| + |B| = max(|A|, |B|)$, because if at least one of A and B is infinite, it is possible to construct a bijection between $A \times B$ and the larger of A and B. Infinite sets are strange.

Exponentiation: the exponent rule

Given sets A and B, let A^B be the set of functions $f: B \to A$. The $|A^B| = |A|^{|B|}.$

If $|B|$ is finite, this is just a $|B|$ -fold application of the product rule: we can write any function $f : B \rightarrow A$ as a sequence of length |B| that gives the value in A for each input in B. Since each element of the sequence contributes |A| possible choices, we get |A|^{|B|} choices total.

For infinite sets

- For infinite sets, the exponent rule is a definition of $|A|^{|B|}$.
- Some simple facts are that $n^{\alpha} = 2^{\alpha}$ whenever n is finite and a is infinite (this comes down to the fact that we can represent any element of [n] as a finite sequence of bits)
- and a^n = a under the same conditions (follows by induction on n from $a \cdot a = a$).

a combinatorial proof

• $x^a x^b = x^{a+b}$, for any cardinal numbers x, a, and b.

•

 \cdot Let $x = |X|$ and let $a = |A|$ and $b = |B|$ where A and B are disjoint (we can always use the tagging trick that we used for inclusion-exclusion to make A and B be disjoint). Then

$$
x^a x^b = |X^A \times X^B|
$$
 and $x^{a+b} = |X^{A \cup B}|$

• bijection $f: X^{A\cup B} \to X^{A} \times X^{B}$

•

• The input to f is a function g : $A \cup B \rightarrow X$; the output is a pair of functions $(g_A:A\rightarrow X, g_B:B\rightarrow X)$.

• We define g_A by $g_A(x) = g(x)$ for all x in A (this makes g_A the **restriction** of g to A, usually written as

 $g \downharpoonright A$ or $g(A)$; similarly $g_B = g \downharpoonright B$

This is easily seen to be a bijection

•

if
$$
g = h
$$
, then $f(g) = (g \mid A, g \mid B) = f(h) = (h \mid A, h \mid B)$

and if $g \neq h$ there is some x for which $g(x) \neq h(x)$, implying $g \downharpoonright A \neq h \downharpoonright A$ (if x is in A) or $g \mid B \neq h \mid B$ (if x is in B).

Counting injections

.Select k elements from n elements .permute k selected elements on a sequence

- Counting injections from a k-element set to an n-element set corresponds to counting the number of ways P(n,k)
- we can pick an ordered subset of k of n items without replacement, also known as picking a k**-permutation**. (The k elements of the domain correspond to the k positions in the order.)

$$
P(n,k)=\prod_{i=n-k+1}^n i=\frac{n!}{(n-k)!}
$$

such k -permutations by the product rule.

Among combinatorialists, the notation $(n)_k$ (pronounced "*n* lower**factorial** k") is more common than $P(n,k)$ for $n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdot ... \cdot (n-k+1)$. As an extreme case we have $(n)_n = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdot \ldots \cdot (n-n+1) =$ $n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdot \ldots \cdot 1 = n!$, so n! counts the number of **permutations** of n .

- \bullet n^k counts the number of functions from a k-element set to an n-element set
- \cdot (n)_k counts the number of injections from a k-element set to an n-element set, and
- n! counts the number of bijections between two nelement sets

counting two ways

- Let $|S_k|$ denote the number of ways of choosing k elements from a set of n elements, S.
- count the number m of sequences of k elements of S with no repetitions
	- By picking a size-k subset A and then choosing one of k! ways to order the elements. This gives $m = |S_k| \cdot k!.$
	- By choosing the first element in one of n ways, the second in one of n−1, the third in one of n−2 ways, and so on until the k-th element, which can be chosen in one of n − k + 1 ways.
		- This gives m=(n)_k=n·(n−1)·(n−2)·…(n−k+1), which can be written as n!/(n k)!
		- •

binomial coefficient

So we have $m = |S_k| \cdot k! = n!/((n - k)!)$, from which we get \bullet

$$
|S_k| = \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!}.
$$

This quantity turns out to be so useful that it has a special notation:

$$
\binom{n}{k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!}.
$$

Binomial coefficients

• The **binomial coefficient** "n choose k", written

•

$$
\binom{n}{k} = \frac{(n)_k}{k!} = \frac{n!}{k! \cdot (n-k)!},\tag{11.2.1}
$$

counts the number of k-element subsets of an n-element set.

Multinomial coefficients

let the multinomial coeffi-cient

•

•

$$
\binom{n}{n_1 \; n_2 \; \ldots \; n_k}
$$

be the number of different ways to distribute n items among k bins where the i-th bin gets exactly n_i of the items and we don't care what order the items appear in each bin. (Obviously this only makes sense if $n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_k=n$.)

Two ways

- Here are two ways to count the number of permutations of the nelement set:
- \cdot 1. Pick the first element, then the second, etc., to get n! permutations.
- 2. Generate a permutation in three steps:
	- (a) Pick a partition of the n elements into blocks of size n_1, n_2, \ldots n_{k} .
	- (b) Order the elements of each block.

•

• (c) Paste the blocks together into a single ordered list.

There are

$$
\binom{n}{n_1 \; n_2 \; \ldots \; n_k}
$$

ways to pick the partition and

 $n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdots n_k!$

ways to order the elements of all the groups, so we have

$$
n! = {n \choose n_1 \; n_2 \; \ldots \; n_k} \cdot n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdots n_k!,
$$

which we can solve to get

$$
\binom{n}{n_1 \ n_2 \ \ldots \ n_k} = \frac{n!}{n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdots n_k!}.
$$

- a rule of the form x is in S if either $P(x)$ or $Q(x)$ is true
- \cdot use the sum rule (if P and Q are mutually exclusive) or inclusion- exclusion
- \cdot e.g. x is a tree of depth at most k if it is either (a) a single leaf node (provided $k > 0$) or (b) a root node with two subtrees of depth at most k-1

- For objects made out of many small components or resulting from many small decisions, try to reduce the description of the object to something previously known
- (a) a word of length k of letters from an alphabet of size n allowing repetition (there are n^k of them, by the product rule);

• (b) a word of length k not allowing repetition (there are $(n)_k$ of them — or n! if $n = k$);

•

 \cdot (c) a subset of k distinct things from a set of size n, where we don't care about the order (there are $\binom{n}{k}$ of them)

• The number of games of Tic-Tac-Toe assuming both players keep playing until the board is filled

•

 \cdot each such game can be specified by listing which of the 9 squares are filled in order, giving 9! = 362880 distinct games.

- only consider games that end when one player wins
- \cdot probably the easiest way to count such games is to send a computer off to generate all of them. This gives 255168 possible games and 958 distinct final positions
- How to count this by a program?
- [https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Tic-Tac-](https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Tic-Tac-Toe+Endgame)[Toe+Endgame](https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Tic-Tac-Toe+Endgame)
- suppose you win n identical cars on a game show and want to divide them among your k greedy relatives
- it's OK if some people don't get a car at all
- putting n cars and $k 1$ dividers in a line

•

•

• Assume that each car—and each divider—takes one parking space. Then you have $n + k - 1$ parking spaces with $k - 1$ dividers in them (and cars in the rest). There are exactly $\binom{n+k-1}{k-1}$ ways to do this.

>> factorial(8)/(factorial(5)*factorial(3))

ans =

Divide 5 cars to 4 groups, then assign these four groups to 4 different relatives

- \bullet $(5,0,0,0)$ 4
- $(4,1,0,0)$ $4*3$
- $(3,2,0,0)$ 4*3
- $(3,1,1,0)$ 4*3
- $(2,2,1,0)$ 4*3
- $(2,1,1,1) 4$
- $12*4+8=56$
- suppose you win n identical cars on a game show and want to divide them among your k greedy relatives
- Then you can just hand out one car to each relative to start with, leaving $n - k$ cars to divide as in the previous case. There are $\binom{(n-k)+k-1}{k-1} = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ ways to do this.

factorial(4)/(factorial(1)*factorial(3))

•

•

ans =

 4

Divide 1 car to 4 relatives

- Divide one card to four groups, then assign these groups to 4 relatives
- \bullet $(1,0,0,0)$ 4
- •

binomial theorem of **Isaac Newton**

Theorem 11.2.1 (Binomial theorem). For any $n \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
(x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k},
$$
\n(11.2.2)

provided the sum converges.

A sufficient condition for the sum converging is $|x/y|$ < 1. For the general version of the theorem, $\binom{n}{k}$ is defined as $(n)_k/k!$, which works even if n is not a non-negative integer. The usual proof requires calculus.

n is a non-negative integer $(x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k}.$

- The connection between (11.2.3) and counting subsets is straightforward: expanding $(x + y)^n$ using the distributive law gives 2^n terms, each of which is a unique sequence of n x's and y's.
- If we think of the x's in each term as labeling a subset of the n positions in the term, the terms that get added together to get x^ky^{n−k}correspond one-to-one to subsets of size k.
- So there are $\binom{n}{k}$ such terms, accounting for the coefficient on the right-hand side.

Recursive definition

Base cases:

- If $k = 0$, then there is exactly one zero-element set of our *n*-elem set—it's the empty set—and we have $\binom{n}{0} = 1$.
- If $k > n$, then there are no k-element subsets, and we have $\forall k >$ $\binom{n}{k} = 0.$

Recursive step: We'll use **Pascal's identity**, which says that

$$
\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}.
$$

- On the left-hand side, we are counting all the k-element subsets of an n-element set S.
- On the right hand side, we are counting two different collections of sets: the $(k - 1)$ -element and k-element subsets of an $(n - 1)$ - element set. The trick is to recognize that we get an $(n - 1)$ -element set S' from our original set by removing one of the elements x.

• If the subset doesn't contain x, it doesn't change. So there is a one- to-one correspondence (the identity function) between k-subsets of S that don't contain x and k-subsets of S′ . This bijection accounts for the first term on the right-hand side.

$$
\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}.
$$

• If the subset does contain x, then we get a $(k - 1)$ element subset of S′ when we remove it. Since we can go back the other way by reinserting x, we get a bijection between k-subsets of S that contain x and $(k - 1)$ -subsets of S′ . This bijection accounts for the second term on the right-hand side.

$$
\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1}.
$$

re's the proof of Pascal's identity:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^{k} = (1+x)^{n}
$$
\n
$$
= (1+x)(1+x)^{n-1}
$$
\n
$$
= (1+x)^{n-1} + x(1+x)^{n-1}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} x^{k} + x \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} x^{k}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} x^{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} x^{k+1}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} x^{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^{k}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k} x^{k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^{k}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} x^{k}.
$$

Vandermonde's identity

Vandermonde's identity says that, provided r does not exceed m or r

$$
\binom{m+n}{r} = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \binom{m}{r-k} \binom{n}{k}.
$$

• To pick r elements of an $m + n$ element set, we have to pick some of them from the first m elements and some from the second n elements.

• we choose k elements from the last n

$$
\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i\right) \left(\sum_{i=0}^m b_i x^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i a_j b_{i-j}\right) x^i.
$$

So now consider

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{r} x^r = (1+x)^{m+n}
$$

$$
= (1+x)^n (1+x)^m
$$

$$
= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} x^j\right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{r=0}^{m+n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^r \binom{n}{k} \binom{m}{r-k}\right) x^r.
$$